

1 Minute Entry. *See* “**Exhibit A**”. Defendant’s counsel requested that Plaintiff provide
2 her input, redlined revisions, or availability for conference by November 6, 2025, to
3 ensure compliance with the Court’s deadline. *Id.*

4
5 Rather than respond to that email, contact defense counsel, or participate in the
6 required Rule 16(b) meeting, Plaintiff unilaterally filed her own separate Rule 16(c)
7 Report and Proposed Scheduling Order on October 27, 2025, without any prior
8 communication or consultation with Defendant. *See* “**Exhibit B**”.

9
10 Plaintiff’s unilateral filing not only predated any opportunity for a Rule 16(b)
11 conference, but also misrepresented the procedural context by implying that Defendant
12 failed to meet and confer. Plaintiff’s October 27, 2025 filing was made before Plaintiff
13 provided any response to Defendant’s October 24 correspondence or attempted to
14 collaborate in good faith.

15
16 Subsequently, on October 29, 2025, after her separate filing had already been
17 docketed with the Court, Plaintiff transmitted an email to defense counsel purporting to
18 “respond” to Defendant’s October 24 communication. *See* **Exhibit “C**”. In that
19 correspondence, Plaintiff stated her refusal to sign or collaborate on a joint version of
20 the report and informing Defense Counsel of her previous October 27, 2025 separate
21 Rule 16(c) Report filing. *Id.*

22
23 Therefore, based on the Plaintiff’s correspondence, and pursuant to Good Faith
24 Consultation Certificate filed contemporaneously with this motion and pursuant to Ariz.
25
26
27
28

1 R. Civ. P. 12(j) and 7.1(h), the Defendant hereby submits this Rule 16(c) Ariz. R. Civ.
2 P. Report.

3 **1. Brief Description of the Case:**

4 **a. Defendant's Description of the Case:**

5 Plaintiff filed two related lawsuits in Maricopa County Superior Court: the first,
6 CV2024-005940, against the Gardens Gilbert Community Association; and the second,
7 CV2024-013806, against Focus HOA Management, LLC, Harman Cadis, and Brooke
8
9 Sortor.

10
11 In her complaints, Plaintiff asserts four principal allegations against the
12 Association and its management company:

- 13 1. the Association refused to provide her with a clear and transparent
14 explanation of their financial practices and infringed on her right to
15 access copies of financial documents;
- 16 2. the Association refused to address a community petition to clean up
17 and maintain the community to the high standards required by the
18 Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions (hereafter, "CC&Rs");
- 19 3. the Association demonstrated negligence by refusing to keep the
20 community clean and up to those high standards; and
- 21 4. the Association took adverse or retaliatory action against her when she
22 requested help to address community nuisance and lack of cleanliness.

23 On June 25, 2024, Defendants Focus HOA Management, Harman Cadis, and
24 Brooke Sortor filed a Motion to Dismiss related to CV2024-013806. The Superior Court
25 granted that motion by minute entry dated July 24, 2024, and subsequently entered a
26 Final Judgment under Rule 54(c) on September 10, 2024. The judgment dismissed all
27 claims against the management defendants with prejudice and awarded Defendants
28 attorney's fees and taxable costs.

1 Plaintiff appealed. On August 12, 2025, the Arizona Court of Appeals, Division
2 One, issued a Memorandum Decision in Rodriguez v. Focus HOA Management, LLC,
3 et al., Nos. 1 CA-CV 24-0790 and 1 CA-CV 25-0040 (Appeals Court Designated as
4 “Consolidated”), affirmed in part, reversed in part, vacated in part, and remanded. The
5 Court affirmed the dismissal of Plaintiff’s claims for breach of contract, breach of duty,
6 First Amendment violations, failure to provide access to financial records, and
7 discrimination/retaliation, but clarified that those dismissals were without prejudice,
8 correcting the Superior Court’s error in its September 10, 2024 minute entry, which had
9 indicated the dismissals were with prejudice. The Court reversed the dismissal of
10 Plaintiff’s negligence, gross negligence, and intentional tort claims, vacated the award
11 of attorney’s fees and costs, and remanded the case for further proceedings. The opinion
12 clarified that Plaintiff’s remaining negligence-based claims were not properly precluded
13 under Arizona law.
14
15
16
17

18 The two cases were consolidated by Superior Court order on September 3, 2025,
19 following Defendants’ Motion to Consolidate based on the August 12, 2025 Appeals
20 Court ruling. The order designated CV2024-005940 as the lead case for all further
21 proceedings. In the same ruling, the Court ordered that the consolidated matter be
22 transferred to the Honorable David McDowell for all subsequent proceedings, replacing
23 the prior assignment to the Honorable Roderick Coffey. The reassignment is
24 administrative in nature and not the result of any party’s or Honorable Coffey’s motion
25
26
27
28

1 or conduct. Honorable Coffey is no longer on a Civil Assignment, and Honorable
2 McDowell has taken over that calendar and was assigned to this case.

3 In its decision, the appellate court emphasized that an HOA's obligations under
4 A.R.S. § 33-1805 and the community's CC&Rs include maintaining common areas. It
5 further held that the CC&Rs' Waiver of Damages Clause does not bar claims for gross
6 negligence or intentional misconduct, which must be allowed to proceed.
7

8 The current defendants in this matter are:
9

10 (a) Gardens Gilbert Community Association,

11 (b) Focus HOA Management, LLC,

12 (c) Harman Cadis,

13 (d) Anna Schultz, and
14

15 (e) Brooke Sortor.
16

17 Consistent with the Court of Appeals' ruling, the current remaining counts are as
18 follows:

- 19 1. As to Defendants Focus HOA Management, LLC, Harman Cadis, Anna
20 Schultz, and Brooke Sortor, the remaining claims include negligence, gross
21 negligence, and intentional torts.
22 2. As to Defendant Gardens Gilbert Community Association, the remaining
23 claims include breach of contract, breach of the covenant of good faith and
24 fair dealing, negligence, gross negligence, and intentional torts.

25 All of these counts arise from Plaintiff's allegation that the Association failed to
26 address a community petition requesting cleanup and maintenance of the community in
27 accordance with the standards set forth in the CC&Rs.
28

1 The Association denies those allegations and maintains that it has acted lawfully
2 and in full compliance with the CC&Rs and applicable Arizona statutes.

3 2. **Tier:** The Parties agreed that this case should be assigned to **Tier 1**.

4 3. **Current Case Status:**

5 a. Every Defendant has been served or dismissed. **Yes.**

6 b. Every party has filed a responsive pleading. **Yes.**

7 c. Plaintiff has served their Initial Disclosure Statement. **No**

8 4. **Amendments:** Defendant anticipates filing an amendment to a pleading that
9 will add a new party to the case: [] yes [X] no

10 5. **Special Case Management:** Special case management procedures are
11 appropriate: [X] yes [] no If “yes,” the following case management procedures are
12 appropriate because:

13 enhanced judicial oversight and structured case management are warranted given the
14 procedural history of this matter and will assist in maintaining procedural order, ensuring
15 compliance with the Court’s directives, and preventing any misuse of the litigation
16 process.

17 6. **Settlement:** Defendant agrees to engage in settlement discussions with [X]
18 a settlement judge assigned by the court, or [] a private mediator.

19 The Defendant will be ready for a settlement conference or a private mediation by
20 Monday, **January 1, 2026**.

21 If the Parties will not engage in a settlement conference or a private mediation,
22 state the reasons(s): _____.

23 7. **Readiness:** This case will be ready for trial by **June 1, 2026**.

24 8. **Jury:** A trial by jury is demanded. **No.**

25 9. **Length of Trial:** The estimated length of trial is **1-3 days**.

26 10. **Summary Jury:** Defendant agrees to a summary jury trial. **No.**

1 (Via E-Filing online – Turbo Court)

2 COPY of the foregoing e-mailed and mailed this
3 7th day of November 2025 to:

4 Sandra Rodriguez
5 4735 E. Besty Lane
6 Gilbert, Arizona 85296
7 Plaintiff

8 By: /s/ Diane Fincher .

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Exhibit “A”

Receptionist

From: Dominick Détente
Sent: Friday, October 24, 2025 10:16 AM
To: Sandra Rodriguez
Cc: Augustus Shaw; Elizabeth Mundall; Diane Fincher; Receptionist
Subject: Joint Status Report (Rule 16(c)) for Your Review – Due to Court by Nov. 12, 2025
Attachments: DRAFT Joint Report (10.24.25).docx; Clean - m11535653.pdf - RULING ON SEVERAL MOTIONS FOR RELIEF - 10.22.2025.pdf

Ms. Rodriguez,

Per the Court's Minute Entry dated October 22, 2025, the parties must submit a Joint Status Report no later than November 12, 2025.

Attached please find a copy of the DRAFT Joint Status Report prepared for filing, along with a copy of the Court's October 22, 2025, Minute Entry.

Our office has also mailed hard copies of these documents to you today for your records.

To ensure we can meet the Court's deadline, please provide your approval or redlined edits by Thursday, November 6, 2025. If we do not hear from you, we will submit our version with an explanation of the parties' positions consistent with Rule 16(c) and advise the Court that Defendant attempted to confer.

Thank you Ms. Rodriguez,

Dominick

Dominick Detente, Esq.
SHAW & LINES, LLC
4523 E. Broadway Rd.
Phoenix, Arizona 85040
480.456.1500

Confidentiality Statement: This email and any accompanying files transmitted are confidential and intended solely for the receipt and use of the individual to whom it is addressed. This confidentiality includes the privilege of attorney/client protections. This message and files transmitted herewith are not to be forwarded, copied, distributed or disseminated to any person to whom said privileges do not apply. If you have received this message without being addressed by this sender, please disregard and delete the same, and notify this sender.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Exhibit “B”

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Sandra Rodriguez
4375 E. Betsy Lane
Gilbert, Arizona 85296
Phone Number: 602-688-9720
Email Address: sandra.rodriguez0339@gmail.com
Representing: Self Represented, without a Lawyer

COPY

OCT 27 2025



CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
T. SEMENAK
DEPUTY CLERK

**IN THE SUPERIOR COURT IN THE STATE OF ARIZONA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA**

SANDRA RODRIGUEZ,

Plaintiff,

vs.

GARDENS GILBERT COMMUNITY
ASSOCIATION, FOCUS HOA
MANAGEMENT, LLC, HARMIN CADIS,
BROOKE SORTOR, ANNA SCHULTZ
Defendants,

**MARICOPA COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT**
Case No.: CV2024-005940
Judge David McDowell,

**APPEALS COURT (DIVISION
ONE) Case No.: 1 CA-CV 24-0790; 1
CA-CV 25-0040 (Consolidated)**

**PLAINTIFF'S SEPARATE RULE
16(C) REPORT AND PROPOSED
SCHEDULING ORDER (FILED
PURSUANT TO RULE 16(C)(2))**

AUGUSTUS H. SHAW IV
Defendant's Legal Counsel,

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE MCDOWELL:

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to the Court's Minute Entry filed October 23, 2025 (entered October 22, 2025) directing the parties to submit a Joint Report and Proposed Scheduling Order under **Rule 16(c)**, Plaintiff Sandra Rodriguez respectfully submits this **Proposed Statement of Compliance**.

1 This filing updates the procedural posture, clarifies pending disclosure obligations, and
2 proposes a schedule that includes time to amend the civil complaint in accordance with the
3 Arizona Court of Appeals' Memorandum Decision of August 12, 2025 and this Court's
4 directions.

5 II. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

- 6
- 7 1. On **August 12, 2025**, the **Arizona Court of Appeals** affirmed dismissal of certain claims
8 but expressly held that Plaintiff's **discrimination and retaliation claims were**
9 **dismissed *without prejudice***, preserving Plaintiff's right to re-plead those claims through
10 a **Rule 15(a)** amendment.
 - 11 2. On **October 22, 2025**, the Hon. David McDowell entered an order lifting the stay
12 imposed by Judge Coffey and *directing all parties to resume discovery and disclosure*.
 - 13 3. The same order required the parties to submit a **Joint Rule 16(c) Report and Proposed**
14 **Scheduling Order by November 12, 2025**.
 - 15 4. Defendants submitted a draft report on October 24, 2025; however, that document
16 misstates key portions of the procedural record and does not include amendment
17 timelines or the reinstated rights acknowledged by the Court of Appeals.
 - 18 5. Plaintiff therefore submits this separate Proposed Statement to ensure full compliance
19 and an accurate record.

20 III. DISCOVERY AND DISCLOSURE STATUS

- 21 • The prior discovery stay remained in effect until October 22, 2025.
- 22 • Plaintiff will serve her **Rule 26.1 Initial Disclosure Statement** no later than
23 November 21, 2025, consistent with **Rule 26.1(f)(3)** (30 days after the stay is
24 lifted).
- 25 • As of this filing, Defendants have not yet served their own updated disclosures.
- 26 • Plaintiff has previously submitted extensive supporting materials—HOA records,
27 correspondence, estimates, and photographs—which will be incorporated into her
28 disclosure statement.

1 **IV. PROPOSED SCHEDULING FRAMEWORK (Rule 16(c))**

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

ACTION/ EVENT	PROPOSED DEADLINE	AUTHORITY / NOTES
File / Serve Rule 26.1 Initial Disclosures	11/21/2025	Rule 26.1(f)(3) (30 days after lifting of stay)
File Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint (Rule 15(a)(4))	12/5/2025	To re-plead discrimination & retaliation claims dismissed without prejudice by the Court of Appeals (Aug 12 2025) and as directed by Judge McDowell (Oct 22 2025 Minute Entry)
Defendants' Response to Motion to Amend	12/19/2025	Rule 7.1(a)
Written Discovery Requests (Interrogatories / RFPs)	12/30/2025	Rule 33 & 34
Completion of Fact Discovery	3/31/2026	Rule 26(b)
Disclosure of Expert Witnesses	4/15/2026	Rule 26.1(d)
Mediation / Settlement Conference	5/15/2026	Rule 16.1
Dispositive Motions Due	6/30/2026	Rule 56
Final Pretrial Conference	8/1/2026	Rule 16(e)
Bench or Jury Trial (Estimated 2-3 Days)	9/1/2026	Court to set

23 This schedule complies with **Rule 16(c)** and accommodates Plaintiff's **Rule 15**
 24 amendment period consistent with both the appellate mandate and this Court's instructions.
 25
 26
 27
 28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

V. PENDING AMENDMENT – COMPLIANCE WITH COURT OF APPEALS AND RULE 15(a)(4)

The Court of Appeals’ Memorandum Decision (Aug 12 2025) held that Plaintiff’s discrimination and retaliation claims were dismissed *without prejudice* and may be re-filed by amendment.

Judge McDowell’s October 22 Minute Entry further clarified that Plaintiff may pursue those claims upon filing a Rule 15-compliant motion with a redlined proposed pleading. Accordingly, Plaintiff proposes a deadline of December 5, 2025 to file that motion, with any responses due within 14 days thereafter, ensuring that all claims are properly before the Court early in discovery.

VI. SETTLEMENT AND MEDIATION

Plaintiff is willing to participate in **court-sponsored mediation or a settlement conference** once initial disclosures and preliminary discovery are complete (target May 2026).

VII. CONCLUSION

Plaintiff submits this Proposed Statement in good faith and in full compliance with the Court’s October 23, 2025 Order. This filing preserves the appellate rights confirmed by the Court of Appeals, incorporates the amendment period authorized by Judge McDowell, and ensures timely progress toward discovery, mediation, and trial.

Respectfully submitted this 27th day of October 2025.



Sandra Rodriguez

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

VII. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On 27th day of November 2025, I served copies of this *PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED STATEMENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH COURT ORDER FILED OCTOBER 23, 2025* for on all parties of record via U.S. Mail.

OPPOSING PARTY INFORMATION

DEFENDANTS:

- o Gardens Gilbert Community Association
- o Focus HOA Management, LLC
- o Harmin Cadis
- o Brooke Sortor
- o Anna Schultz
- **Address:** 4135 E. Power Road, Suite 133, Mesa, Arizona 85212

DEFENDANT'S LEGAL COUNSEL:

- **Name:** Augustus H. Shaw IV
- **Firm:** Shaw & Lines, LLC
- **Address:** 4523 E. Broadway Road, Phoenix, Arizona 85040

Respectfully submitted this 27th day of October 2025..



Sandra Rodriguez

1 **IV. PROPOSED SCHEDULING FRAMEWORK (Rule 16(c))**

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

ACTION/ EVENT	PROPOSED DEADLINE	AUTHORITY / NOTES
File / Serve Rule 26.1 Initial Disclosures	11/21/2025	Rule 26.1(f)(3) (30 days after lifting of stay)
File Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint (Rule 15(a)(4))	12/5/2025	To re-plead discrimination & retaliation claims dismissed without prejudice by the Court of Appeals (Aug 12 2025) and as directed by Judge McDowell (Oct 22 2025 Minute Entry)
Defendants' Response to Motion to Amend	12/19/2025	Rule 7.1(a)
Written Discovery Requests (Interrogatories / RFPs)	12/30/2025	Rule 33 & 34
Completion of Fact Discovery	3/31/2026	Rule 26(b)
Disclosure of Expert Witnesses	4/15/2026	Rule 26.1(d)
Mediation / Settlement Conference	5/15/2026	Rule 16.1
Dispositive Motions Due	6/30/2026	Rule 56
Final Pretrial Conference	8/1/2026	Rule 16(e)
Bench or Jury Trial (Estimated 2-3 Days)	9/1/2026	Court to set

23 This schedule complies with **Rule 16(c)** and accommodates Plaintiff's **Rule 15**
 24 amendment period consistent with both the appellate mandate and this Court's instructions.
 25
 26
 27
 28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Exhibit “C”



Re: Joint Status Report (Rule 16(c)) for Your Review – Due to Court by Nov. 12, 2025

From Sandra Rodriguez <sandra.rodriguez0339@gmail.com>

Date Wed 10/29/2025 12:01 PM

To Dominick Détente <Dominick@shawlines.com>

Cc Augustus Shaw <ashaw@shawlines.com>; Elizabeth Mundall <elizabeth@shawlines.com>; Diane Fincher <Diane@shawlines.com>; Receptionist <receptionist@shawlines.com>

📎 1 attachment (4 MB)

Plaintiff Separate Rule 16(c) Report & Proposed Scheduling Order (Clerk Copy) 10.27.25.pdf;

Dear Mr. Shaw,

I have reviewed your proposed Joint Report dated October 24, 2025. While I appreciate your efforts to meet the Court's directive, several sections misstate the current procedural posture and omit reference to claims dismissed without prejudice by the Arizona Court of Appeals.

To preserve the accuracy of the record and ensure compliance with Judge McDowell's October 22, 2025 Minute Entry, I will be submitting my own corrected Rule 16(c) Joint Report. This approach maintains procedural compliance while clarifying the scope of claims, pending Rule 15 amendments, and the current discovery posture.

Given the repeated false and misleading statements made under oath throughout the appellate proceedings—and reflected again in portions of your proposed draft—I cannot, in good faith, sign the version you submitted. Accordingly, I will file my own Rule 16(c) statement and proposed scheduling order directly with the Court.

For transparency, the following corrections should have been incorporated into your version:

1. Case Scope: The discrimination and retaliation claims were dismissed without prejudice and remain subject to amendment under Rule 15(a)(4).
2. Discovery: Plaintiff's disclosures were stayed by court order and will be served promptly now that the stay has been lifted per the October 22 Minute Entry.
3. Procedural Accuracy: The appellate decision vacated the attorney-fee award and reinstated negligence, gross-negligence, and intentional-tort claims.
4. Settlement and Scheduling: Mediation and discovery timelines should align with the reinstated claims and current procedural posture.

CLARIFICATION REGARDING "Initial Disclosures"

Your draft states that "Plaintiff has not served their Initial Disclosure Statement."

That characterization is misleading. Under **Rule 26.1(a)**, Ariz. R. Civ. P., an Initial Disclosure Statement requires each party to exchange core case information, including:

1. A factual statement of each claim or defense;
2. Names and contact information for persons likely to have discoverable information;
3. Copies or descriptions of documents, photos, or other evidence supporting those claims or defenses;
4. Computation of all categories of damages;
5. Any relevant insurance agreements; and
6. Expert disclosures, if applicable.

However, Judge Coffey's January 2025 Stay Order expressly froze all further filings and discovery pending appeal. As a result, I was legally prohibited from serving new disclosures until the stay was lifted. Judge McDowell's October 22, 2025 Minute Entry clearly states:

> "IT IS ORDERED lifting the stay order and directing the parties to resume discovery/disclosure to ensure this matter is ready for a final trial."

Therefore, no party—including the defendants—was permitted to exchange **Rule 26.1** disclosures before October 22, 2025. The reference in your draft omits this critical procedural context.

To date, the defendants have not provided their own Rule 26.1 Disclosure Statement either, despite being equally obligated to do so once the stay was lifted. Both parties are now required to serve updated disclosures consistent with Rule 26.1(a) and the Court's directive to resume discovery.

In addition, I have already submitted numerous supporting exhibits—emails, CC&Rs, billing records, photographs, estimates, and court filings—that satisfy the evidentiary categories of Rule 26.1(a)(4). Those materials will now be incorporated into a formal disclosure statement labeled:

> "Plaintiff's Rule 26.1 Initial Disclosure Statement (Served Pursuant to October 22, 2025 Minute Entry and Rule 26.1, Ariz. R. Civ. P.)"

This statement will be served within 14 days and followed by a Notice of Service filed with the Court.

CONCLUSION

Your assertion that Plaintiff has not served disclosures overlooks both the Court's prior stay and its recent order **reopening discovery**. At this stage, neither party has yet served updated Rule 26.1 disclosures; however, the record will reflect that I am proceeding promptly and in good faith to comply with the Court's directive.

As you know, I have **submitted my own Rule 16(c) Report and Proposed Scheduling Order**, which is attached for your review. Please feel free to report to the Court any areas of alignment or proposed revisions you believe appropriate.

Additionally, I went ahead and **mailed you a physical copy** of these materials for your reference and records. By serving my updated disclosures now and documenting that timeline, I ensure full procedural compliance and eliminate any potential for mischaracterization in future filings.

Respectfully,

Sandra Rodriguez

On Fri, Oct 24, 2025, 10:16 AM Dominick Détente <Dominick@shawlines.com> wrote:
Ms. Rodriguez,

Per the Court's Minute Entry dated October 22, 2025, the parties must submit a Joint Status Report no later than November 12, 2025.

Attached please find a copy of the DRAFT Joint Status Report prepared for filing, along with a copy of the Court's October 22, 2025, Minute Entry.

Our office has also mailed hard copies of these documents to you today for your records.

To ensure we can meet the Court's deadline, please provide your approval or redlined edits by Thursday, November 6, 2025. If we do not hear from you, we will submit our version with an explanation of the parties' positions consistent with Rule 16(c) and advise the Court that Defendant attempted to confer.

Thank you Ms. Rodriguez,

Dominick

Dominick Detente, Esq.
SHAW & LINES, LLC
4523 E. Broadway Rd.
Phoenix, Arizona 85040
480.456.1500

Confidentiality Statement: This email and any accompanying files transmitted are confidential and intended solely for the receipt and use of the individual to whom it is addressed. This confidentiality includes the privilege of attorney/client protections. This message and files transmitted herewith are not to be forwarded, copied, distributed or disseminated to any person to whom said privileges do not apply. If you have received this message without being addressed by this sender, please disregard and delete the same, and notify this sender.