

1 J. Gary Linder, Bar #020552  
2 Lori L. Voepel, Bar #015342  
3 JONES, SKELTON & HOCHULI, P.L.C.  
4 2901 North Central Avenue, Suite 800  
5 Phoenix, Arizona 85012  
6 (602) 263-1700  
7 glinder@jshfirm.com  
8 lvoepel@jshfirm.com

9 Attorneys for Defendant/Appellant PC  
10 Village Association, Inc.

11 **IN THE COURT OF APPEALS**

12 **STATE OF ARIZONA**

13 **DIVISION ONE**

14 ROBERT R. HAWK and CECILIA J.  
15 HAWK,

16 Plaintiffs/Appellees,

17 vs.

18 PC VILLAGE ASSOCIATION, INC.,

19 Defendant/Appellant.

No. 1 CA-CV 12-0362

No. CV2911-00776

Coconino County Superior Court

**UNOPPOSED (FIRST) MOTION  
FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO  
FILE REPLY BRIEF**

20 Appellant PC Village Association, Inc. ("PC Village"), through undersigned  
21 counsel, respectfully requests this Court for an extension of time to file its Reply  
22 Brief. The Reply Brief is presently due October 23, 2012. Appellant requests that  
23 the Reply Brief deadline be extended for 14 days to November 6, 2012. This is  
24 Appellant's first request for an extension to file its Reply Brief.

25 Good cause exists for the requested extension. Undersigned counsel has a  
26 number of pending deadlines in other cases over the next several weeks, making it  
27 difficult to prepare this Reply Brief under the current time constraints. Counsel has  
28 an Answering Brief due October 19, 2012 in this Court in *U.S. Airways Group, Inc.*

1 v. *The Industrial Commission of Arizona, et al.*, Case No. 1 CA-IC 12-0023; a  
2 complex Reply and Supplemental Statement of Facts In Support Of Defendant  
3 Maricopa County Community College District's Motion For Summary Judgment  
4 due October 31, 2012 in Maricopa County Superior Court, *Kimberly McManus, et*  
5 *al. v. Maricopa County Community College District*, Case No. CV2009-003847;  
6 and counsel is also in the process of conducting research and analysis for a potential  
7 supplemental brief in a capital case, *Milke v. Schriro*, Ninth Circuit Court of  
8 Appeals Case No. 07-99001.

9 Appellant avows that this request is made in good faith and not for the  
10 purpose of delay. Opposing counsel, Tevis Reich, states he has no objection to an  
11 extension.

12 For the foregoing reasons, Appellant respectfully moves this Court for a 14-  
13 day extension until November 6, 2012 to file its Reply Brief.

14 DATED this 18<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2012.

15 JONES, SKELTON & HOCHULI, P.L.C.

16  
17 By /s/ Lori L. Voepel

18 J. Gary Linder  
19 Lori L. Voepel  
20 2901 North Central Avenue, Suite 800  
21 Phoenix, Arizona 85012  
22 Attorneys for Defendant/Appellant PC  
23 Village Association, Inc.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28