

SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

JIE CAO, et al.,)
) Arizona Supreme Court
) No. CV-22-0228-PR
 Plaintiffs/Appellants,)
) Court of Appeals
 v.) Division One
) No. 1 CA-CV 21-0275
 PFP DORSEY INVESTMENTS, LLC, et)
 al.,) Maricopa County
) Superior Court
 Defendants/Appellees.) No. CV2019-055353
)
) **FILED 05/29/2024**

O R D E R

On May 21, 2024, Plaintiff/Appellants Jie Cao and Haining "Frazer" Xia filed a Motion for Reconsideration and Petition to Recall the Mandate, asking this Court to reconsider its award of attorney fees.

Attorney fees in this context are procedurally governed by ARCAP 21(d), which provides in relevant part: "If the Supreme Court vacates, reverses, modifies, or affirms the Court of Appeals' decision, a party entitled to attorneys' fees and costs may file in the Supreme Court a statement of attorneys' fees and costs incurred in the Supreme Court and in the Court of Appeals." In this instance, this Court vacated the court of appeals decision, including the attorney fee award, in its entirety. Under those circumstances, movants must identify portions of the court of appeals attorney fees, if any, to which

they are entitled in light of the subsequent decision by this Court.

Upon consideration by the entire Court,

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion for Reconsideration and Petition to Recall the Mandate is denied.

DATED this 29th day of May, 2024.

_____/s/_____
CLINT BOLICK
Justice

TO:

Eric M Fraser
John S. Bullock
Shawna M Woner
Stephanie Kwan Gintert
Timothy J Berg
Charles E Markle
Edith I Rudder
Nicholas Nogami
James Martin Manley
Kathryn D Valois
Timothy Sandefur
Jonathan A Dessaulles
Ashley C Hill
James T Braselton
Vail Cloar
Robert M Diamond
James C Martin
Ted A Hages
Quinten T Cupps
Lynn M Krupnik
Tim Krupnik
Andrew Fox
Austin C Yost
Derek Douglas Debus
Brett Gilmore